scientific reports



OPEN Genetic dissection of down syndrome-associated alterations in APP/amyloid- β biology using mouse models

Justin L. Tosh^{1,2}, Elena R. Rhymes¹, Paige Mumford³, Heather T. Whittaker¹, Laura J. Pulford¹, Sue J. Noy¹, Karen Cleverley¹, LonDownS Consortium^{*}, Matthew C. Walker⁴, Victor L. J. Tybulewicz^{2,5}, Rob C. Wykes^{4,6}, Elizabeth M. C. Fisher¹ Frances K. Wiseman³⊠

Individuals who have Down syndrome (caused by trisomy of chromosome 21), have a greatly elevated risk of early-onset Alzheimer's disease, in which amyloid-β accumulates in the brain. Amyloid-β is a product of the chromosome 21 gene APP (amyloid precursor protein) and the extra copy or 'dose' of APP is thought to be the cause of this early-onset Alzheimer's disease. However, other chromosome 21 genes likely modulate disease when in three-copies in people with Down syndrome. Here we show that an extra copy of chromosome 21 genes, other than APP, influences APP/Aβ biology. We crossed Down syndrome mouse models with partial trisomies, to an APP transgenic model and found that extra copies of subgroups of chromosome 21 gene(s) modulate amyloid-β aggregation and APP transgene-associated mortality, independently of changing amyloid precursor protein abundance. Thus, genes on chromosome 21, other than APP, likely modulate Alzheimer's disease in people who have Down syndrome.

Down syndrome (DS), which occurs in approximately 1 in 1000 births, is the most common cause of early-onset Alzheimer's disease-dementia (AD-DS)¹. Approximately 6 million people have DS world-wide and by the age of 65 two-thirds of these individuals will have a clinical dementia diagnosis. Moreover, approaching 100% of women with DS will have a dementia diagnosis by the age of 80^2 and dementia is now a leading cause of death for people who have DS in the UK3. Trisomy of human chromosome 21 (Hsa21) and the resulting abnormal gene dosage also significantly affect neurodevelopment, neuronal function and other aspects of physiology, such as cardiovascular and immune systems, giving rise to the spectrum of features seen in DS⁴.

Clinical-genetic studies demonstrate that the Hsa21 gene amyloid precursor protein (APP) is central to early AD onset in people with DS^{5,6}, but other genes, including some found on Hsa21, modulate age of dementia onset7-9. APP undergoes enzymatic cleavage within the brain to form numerous fragments, including amyloid-β which accumulates in AD-associated amyloid plaques, and C-terminal fragments (CTF) that may impair intracellular processes¹⁰. However, how trisomy of Hsa21 genes, other than APP, impacts APP biology and subsequent neurodegeneration and dementia is not well understood¹.

Individual DS phenotypes likely arise from an extra copy of specific genes on Hsa21, which is currently estimated to carry 234 protein-coding genes¹¹. While not all Hsa21 genes are 'dosage-sensitive', the increased dosage of some genes may lead to increased transcript and protein levels that result in biologically relevant molecular and cellular changes. Identification of the Hsa21 genes that affect AD-dementia development will further understanding of AD-DS mechanisms and may also provide novel insight into neurodegeneration in the

¹Department of Neuromuscular Diseases, Queen Square Institute of Neurology, University College London, Queen Square, London WC1N 3BG, UK. ²The Francis Crick Institute, London NW1 1AT, UK. ³The UK Dementia Research Institute, University College London, Queen Square, London WC1N 3BG, UK. ⁴Department of Clinical and Experimental Epilepsy, Institute of Neurology, University College London, Queen Square, London WC1N 3BG, UK. ⁵Department of Immunology and Inflammation, Imperial College, London W12 0NN, UK. ⁶Nanomedicine Lab and the Geoffrey Jefferson Brain Research Center, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PT, UK. *A list of authors and their affiliations appears at the end of the paper. [⊠]email: Elizabeth.fisher@ucl.ac.uk; F.wiseman@ ucl.ac.uk

euploid population by the identification of key pathways. Moreover, understanding the development of AD-DS is of critical importance for the translation of AD prevention therapies for people with DS.

In a previous study we crossed a mouse model of DS (the Tc1 mouse) that does not have an additional copy of *APP* to a transgenic mouse overexpressing APP with AD-causing mutations (J20 mice). This work demonstrated that an additional copy of Hsa21, independent of an extra copy of *APP*, exacerbated amyloid- β aggregation and deposition, enhanced *APP* transgene-associated mortality, altered behaviour and reduced cognitive performance in double mutant progeny that model AD-DS¹². In the same system we also observed that an additional copy of Hsa21, independently of an extra copy of *APP*, increased APP-CTF fragments in male but not female brains in AD-DS mice.

Here using independent DS mouse models^{13,14} we investigated these phenotypes further and determined that a causal gene(s) for elevated amyloid- β aggregation lies between *Mir802* and *Zbtb21* (within the 'Dp(16)3Tyb' mouse duplication). We also found that a dosage sensitive gene(s) that enhances *APP* transgene-associated mortality is located between *Mis18a* and *Runx1* (within the 'Dp(16)2Tyb' mouse duplication) and that dosage-sensitive genes that protect against *APP* transgene-associated mortality are located between *Mir802* and *Zbtb21* (Dp(16)3Tyb duplication), *Prmt2* and *Pdxk* (Dp(17)1Yey duplication) and also between *Abcg1* and *Rrp1b* (Dp(10)1Yey duplication). We went on to show that the rescue of mortality by the Dp(10)1Yey duplication occurred independently of changes to the frequency or duration of *APP* transgene-associated seizures.

These data show that an extra copy of multiple chromosome 21 gene orthologues modulate multiple ADrelated phenotypes in mouse models. Similar mechanisms may also occur in people who have DS when they develop AD-dementia and may contribute to the AD-clinical differences that occur within this population.

Results

Survival of a tgAPP mouse is modulated by additional copies of chromosome 21 mouse homologues. To determine whether an additional copy of chromosome 21 genes other than *APP* modified APP/ amyloid- β biology we crossed the J20 *APP* transgenic (tgAPP) mouse model, which expresses human APP with AD-associated point mutations, with a panel of mouse models of DS (Fig. 1A). These DS mouse models have segmental duplications of defined regions of the mouse genome that are syntenic with Hsa21. Hsa21 has homology to three regions of the mouse genome, within mouse chromosomes 10, 16 and 17 (Mmu10, Mmu16, Mmu17). Each model has an extra copy of a subset of Hsa21-orthologous genes and can be used to determine which Hsa21 genes cause DS-associated phenotypes^{13,15}. We systematically assessed crosses of these DS models with the J20 tgAPP mouse to determine how each segmental duplication affected tgAPP-associated phenotypes. Mice with the tgAPP transgene and a segmental duplication were compared to littermates that only carried tgAPP to assess the effect of the segmental duplication on APP/A β biology (Fig. 1B).

APP transgenic mice often exhibit elevated mortality, likely because of the epileptogenic effect of APP or an APP cleavage product¹⁶. We determined whether mortality in our J20 tgAPP progeny was modulated by the extra copy of Hsa21 mouse homologues in the DS mouse mapping panel (Fig. 2), because we had determined previously that trisomy of human chromosome 21 elevated tgAPP-associated mortality in another mouse cross¹². We found an additional copy of a gene(s) between *Mis18a* and *Runx1* (Dp(16)2Tyb segmental duplication on Mmu16) significantly reduced survival associated with J20 tgAPP prior to 6-months of age (Fig. 2A). Thus, for animal welfare we ceased this experimental cross and only the 6-month of age and part of the 12-month of age time-point was produced.

Conversely an additional copy of the regions between *Mir802* and *Zbtb21* (Dp(16)3Tyb duplication on Mmu16) (Fig. 2B), *Prmt2* and *Pdxk* (Dp(10)1Yey duplication on Mmu10) (Fig. 2C) and *Abcg1* and *Rrp1b* (Dp(17)1Yey duplication on Mmu17) (Fig. 2D) all partially rescued J20 tgAPP-associated reduced survival.

These data suggest that an additional copy of at least four genes on Hsa21 modulates tgAPP-related mortality. Moreover, that an extra copy of genes on Hsa21 both exacerbate and alleviate tgAPP-associated mortality. This may be the result of suppression of tgAPP-associated seizures which are thought to be the major cause of elevated mortality in the J20 mouse model. Alternatively, seizures may still occur but the mice may be protected from post-seizure mortality. Seizure occurrence is linked to the abundance of APP and its cleavage fragments^{16,17}, thus changes to mortality may result from alterations in these proteins. Thus, we next tested these potential mechanisms.

Segmental duplications do not alter FL-APP abundance but the Dp(16)3Tyb duplication causes an increase in cortical α -CTF. The observed alteration in tgAPP-associated mortality may result from changes to the abundance of full-length APP (FL-APP) or one of its cleavage fragments^{16,18,19}. Thus, we investigated the abundance of full-length APP (FL-APP) in the progeny of a cross of Dp(16)3Tyb, Dp(10)1Yey or Dp(17)1Yey duplications with tgAPP J20 mice at 3-months of age. We found no evidence that abundance of FL-APP (Fig. S1A) was altered by an additional copy of the Dp(16)3Tyb, Dp(17)1Yey or Dp(10)1Yey regions, in the cortex. We were not able to investigate the effect of the Dp(16)2Tyb region in vivo on FL-APP abundance because the elevated mortality observed in the intercross prevented the ethical generation of tissue samples for these experiments.

Using an alternative mouse model of DS (the Tc1 mouse) we have previously shown that an extra copy of Hsa21 raises the abundance of alpha and beta C-terminal fragments of APP (α -CTF and β -CTF) in the brain of male mice¹². Here we show that an additional copy of a gene(s) between *Mir802* and *Zbtb21* (Dp(16)3Tyb segmental duplication) is sufficient to raise the level of α -CTF in the cortex in male and female mice (Fig. 3A). No significant changes in β -CTF levels were detected in the presence of the Dp(16)3Tyb duplication (Fig. 3A). α -CTF and β -CTF levels were not altered in the Dp(10)1Yey or Dp(17)1Yey duplication models (Fig. 3B,C). We were not able to investigate the effect of the Dp(16)2Tyb region in vivo on α -CTF and β -CTF abundance



Figure 1. Mapping DS-AD associated phenotypes: analysis of progeny from crosses of J20 tgAPP with DS segmental duplication models. (**A**) Regions of Hsa21 homology on mouse chromosomes 16, 17 and 10 in the Dp(16)2Tyb, Dp(16)3Tyb, Dp(10)1Yey and Dp(17)1Yey segmental duplication models of DS. An ideogram of Hsa21 with major karyotypic bands is shown, with a megabase (Mb) scale. Below this are graphical representations of the relative sizes of Hsa21 orthologous regions in the mouse and the subregions which are segmentally duplicated in each DS mouse model used in this study. These are colour coded: Dp(16)2Tyb is light blue, Dp(16)3Tyb is green, Dp(10)1Yey is purple, and Dp(17)1Yey is yellow. "NA" refers to a subregion not modelled in this study. The number of Hsa21 orthologous genes, Mb size, first and last genes in each duplication are shown. (**B**) Schematic of the cross of the segmental duplication models of DS crossed with the J20 *APP* transgenic model (tgAPP).

because the elevated mortality observed in the intercross prevented the ethical generation of tissue samples for these experiments.

To further investigate the effect of the Dp(16)3Tyb duplication on α -CTF and β -CTF abundance we measured levels in the hippocampus at 3-months of age; no change in FL-APP, α -CTF or β -CTF was observed (Fig. S2). Therefore, the rescue of tgAPP-associated mortality in the Dp(16)3Tyb and Dp(10)1Yey mouse models of DS occurs independently of a change in FL-APP or β -CTF in two key brain regions in the J20 tgAPP model. Moreover, the increase in α -CTF abundance in the Dp(16)3Tyb segmental duplication model is brain region-dependent but sex-independent in contrast to our previous work in the Tc1 DS model.

Additional copies of Hsa21 homologues modulate aggregation of amyloid- β in the brain. Raised amyloid- β may cause excitotoxicity and seizure related mortality¹⁸. Moreover, our previous study using the Tc1 mouse model of trisomy of chromosome 21 demonstrated that aggregation of amyloid- β_{42} is increased by the additional chromosome, independently of an additional copy of *APP*. Thus, we determined if an additional copy of the mouse Hsa21 orthologues altered amyloid- β biology. We fractionated soluble and insoluble (aggregated) cortical proteins and quantified levels of amyloid- β_{40} and amyloid- β_{42} .

The abundance of insoluble amyloid- β_{42} in the cortex was decreased in Dp(16)2Tyb;tgAPP mice compared to tgAPP littermates at 6-months of age in both males and females (Fig. 4A). However, given the high mortality of tgAPP;Dp(16)2Tyb mice, the reduction in amyloid- β_{42} may be a result of a "survivor effect" where in the presence of Dp(16)2Tyb duplication, only mice that had low APP/amyloid- β_{42} may be able to survive to 6-months of age. Further experiments in an alternative model system are required to investigate this finding.



Figure 2. The effect of DS segmental duplication models on J20 tgAPP-associated mortality. (**A**) Survival of Dp(16)2Tyb;tgAPP male and female mice to 6-months of age is significantly reduced compared to tgAPP controls (Mantel–Cox log-rank test $X^2 = 47.872 \ p < 0.001$). (Wildtype male n = 21, female n = 16; Dp(16)2Tyb male n = 7, female n = 6; tgAPP male n = 16, female n = 12; Dp(16)2Tyb;tgAPP male n = 7, female n = 21). (**B**) Survival of Dp(16)3Tyb;tgAPP male and female mice to 6-months of age is significantly increased compared to tgAPP controls (Mantel–Cox log-rank test $X^2 = 33.58 \ p < 0.001$). (Wildtype male n = 23, female n = 34; Dp(16)3Tyb male n = 19, female n = 20; tgAPP male n = 21, female n = 23; Dp(16)3Tyb;tgAPP male n = 20; tgAPP male n = 21, female n = 23; Dp(16)3Tyb;tgAPP male n = 19, female n = 20; tgAPP male n = 21, female n = 23; Dp(16)3Tyb;tgAPP male n = 19, female n = 20; tgAPP male n = 12, female n = 23; Dp(16)3Tyb;tgAPP male n = 19, female n = 20; tgAPP male n = 17, female n = 18; Dp(10)1Yey;tgAPP male n = 17, female n = 18; Dp(10)1Yey;tgAPP male n = 17, female n = 18; Dp(10)1Yey;tgAPP male n = 17, female n = 18; Dp(10)1Yey;tgAPP male n = 17, female n = 18; Dp(10)1Yey;tgAPP male n = 11, female n = 22). (**D**) Survival of Dp(17)1Yey;tgAPP male and female mice to 6-months of age is significantly increased compared to tgAPP controls (Mantel–Cox log-rank test $X^2 = 12.56 \ p = 0.0057$). (Wildtype male n = 14, female n = 18; Dp(10)1Yey male n = 11, female n = 15; tgAPP male n = 16, female n = 18; Dp(10)1Yey;tgAPP male n = 15; tgAPP male n = 16, female n = 18; Dp(10)1Yey;tgAPP male n = 11, female n = 14. Both sexes included in analysis.

Significantly elevated levels of insoluble amyloid- β_{42} were observed in the Dp(16)3Tyb;tgAPP mice at 12-months of age in the cortex, compared to tgAPP littermates (Fig. 4B). However, at 6-months of age although a small increase in insoluble amyloid- β_{42} was observed this was not statistically significant (Fig. S3). An additional copy of the Dp(10)1Yey or Dp(17)1Yey duplications did not alter insoluble amyloid- β_{42} abundance (Fig. 4C,D).

The increased survival of Dp(16)3Tyb;tgAPP mice compared to tgAPP littermates could contribute to this change in phenotype, because Dp(16)3Tyb;tgAPP mice may be able to tolerate a higher APP/amyloid- β_{42} load than tgAPP littermates, without ill effects. Thus to further assess whether the Dp(16)3Tyb duplication increased amyloid-ß aggregation, the abundance of multimeric amyloid-ß was measured by ELISA in cortex of Dp(16)3Tyb;tgAPP mice and tgAPP littermates at 3 months of age. This time-point is prior to the timing of significant mortality of tgAPP littermates and thus should control for the increased survival of Dp(16)3Tyb;tgAPP mice. Significantly more multimeric amyloid- β was detected in Dp(16)3Tyb;tgAPP mice than tgAPP littermates (Fig. 4E). Thus an additional copy of a gene(s) located between *Mir802* and *Zbtb21* promotes amyloid- β aggregation in the brain in both young and old mice. To further investigate the causal mechanism for this phenotype we measured the abundance of amyloid- β_{40} and amyloid- β_{42} in these samples and calculated the amyloid- $\beta_{40/42}$ ratio. We previously observed that in the Tc1 model of trisomy of chromosome 21 crossed with the J20 tgAPP mouse a negative correlation between the amyloid- $\beta_{40/42}$ ratio and the level of aggregation of amyloid- β as detected by ELISA in the Tris soluble hippocampal protein fraction at 2-months of age. In the Dp(16)3Tyb;tgAPP mice and tgAPP littermates at 3-months of age, no change in the abundance of amyloid- β_{40} , amyloid- β_{42} or amyloid- $\beta_{40/42}$ ratio was detected (Fig. S4). Therefore the increased aggregation of amyloid- β_{42} observed in the presence of the Dp(16)3Tyb segmental duplications is likely to occur via another mechanism.



Figure 3. The effect of DS segmental duplication models on CTF abundance in the brain. (**A**–**C**) The relative abundance of APP β -C-terminal fragment (β -CTF) and APP α -C-terminal fragment (α -CTF) compared to full-length APP (FL-APP) was measured by western blot using A8717 primary antibody in the cortex at 3-months of age in female and male mice. (**A**) Significantly more α -CTF was observed in Dp(16)3Tyb;tgAPP (n=8, 4 male and 4 female) controls (F(1,12) = 5.226, p = 0.041), β -CTF was not raised in this double mutant mouse (F(1,13) = 3.005, p = 0.107). (**B**) In Dp(10)1Yey;tgAPP mice (n = 11, 7 male and 4 female) neither α -CTF (F(1,12) = 0.143, p = 0.712) nor β -CTF (F(1,12) = 0.291, p = 0.599) abundance differed from tgAPP (n = 7, 3 male and 4 female) controls. (**C**) In Dp(17)1Yey;tgAPP (n = 9, 6 male and 3 female) mice neither α -CTF (F(1,13) = 0.350, p = 0.363) nor β -CTF (F(1,13) = 0.566, p = 0.465) abundance differed from tgAPP (n = 9, 3 male and 6 female) controls. Error bars show SEM, data points are independent mice.

An additional copy of Hsa-21 homologues from the Dp(16)2Tyb, Dp(16)3Tyb or Dp(17)1Yey regions did not significantly alter the abundance of insoluble amyloid- β_{40} , soluble amyloid- β_{40} or soluble amyloid- β_{42} (Fig. S5, S6 A, B, D). Thus the increase in insoluble amyloid- β_{42} abundance caused by the extra copy of the Dp(16)3Tyb duplication likely occurs because of enhanced amyloid- β_{42} aggregation or impaired clearance. An additional copy of the Dp(10)1Yey region led to an increase in Tris soluble amyloid- β_{42} at 12 months of age but this was not seen at 6 months of age (Fig. S6 C). The Dp(10)1Yey region did not alter the abundance of insoluble or soluble amyloid- β_{40} at either time-point.

Additional copies of Hsa21 homologues do not modulate deposition of amyloid- β in the brain. To determine if the changes in amyloid- β_{42} aggregation in the Dp(16)2Tyb-tgAPP and Dp(16)3Tyb-



Figure 4. The effect of DS segmental duplication models on insoluble amyloid- β_{42} in the cortex. (A–D) Cortical proteins from 6- and 12-month old mice were fractionated and 5 M guanidine hydrochloride, soluble Aβ was quantified by Meso Scale Discovery Assay. Error bars show SEM, data points are independent mice. (A) In Dp(16)2Tyb;tgAPP (n = 8, 3 male and 5 female) mice at 6 months of age, median amyloid- β_{42} abundance is significantly decreased (U($N_{Dp(16)2Tyb;tgAPP} = 8, N_{tgAPP} = 7$,) = 8, p = 0.021) in cortex compared to tgAPP (n = 7, 2) male and 5 female) littermates. After systematic outlier testing one tgAPP sample was excluded prior to analysis. (B) In Dp(16)3Tyb;tgAPP (n = 11, 7 male and 4 female) mice at 12 months of age, amyloid- β_{42} abundance is significantly increased (F(1,13) = 4.656, p = 0.05) compared to tgAPP (n = 8, 5 male and 3 female) littermates. After systematic outlier testing two tgAPP samples were excluded prior to analysis. (C) In Dp(10)1Yey;tgAPP (n = 12, 6 male and 6 female) mice at 12 months of age, amyloid- β_{42} abundance (F(1,14) = 0.027, p = 0.872) did not significantly differ compared to tgAPP (n = 8, 5 male and 3 female) littermates. After systematic outlier testing one tgAPP sample and two Dp(10)1Yey;tgAPP samples were excluded prior to analysis. (D) In Dp(17)1Yey;tgAPP (n = 8, 3 male and 5 female) mice at 12 months of age, amyloid- β_{42} abundance (F(1,9)=2.115 p=0.176) did not significantly differ compared to tgAPP (n=7, 4 male and 3 female) littermates. After systematic outlier testing two tgAPP samples and one Dp(17)1Yey;tgAPP sample were excluded prior to analysis. (E) In Dp(16)3Tyb;tgAPP (n = 11, 6 male and 5 female) mice at 3 months of age, median oligomeric A β species were significantly increased U(N_{Dp(16)3Tyb;tgAPP} = 11, N_{tgAPP} = 12,) = 104, p = 0.019) compared to tgAPP (n = 12, 8 male and 4 female) littermates.



Wildtype

Figure 5. The effect of DS segmental duplication models on amyloid- β in the hippocampus. Amyloid- β deposition in the hippocampus was quantified at (A-D) 6- and (E-H) 12-months of age in male and female mice, percentage of the region covered by stain was calculated. Error bars show SEM, data points are independent mice. (A) No significant difference in amyloid- β deposition in the hippocampus was detected at 6-months of age in Dp(16)2Tyb;tgAPP compared with tgAPP controls (F(1,17) = 2.372, p = 0.142) detected with 82E1 primary antibody. After systematic outlier testing one tgAPP sample was excluded prior to analysis. Dp(16)2Tvb;tgAPP female n = 5, male n = 3; tgAPP female n = 7, male n = 7. (B) No significant difference in amyloid- β deposition in the hippocampus was detected at 6-months of age in Dp(16)3Tyb;tgAPP compared with tgAPP controls ($U(N_{Dp(16)3Tyb;tgAPP} = 12, N_{tgAPP} = 14,) = 71, p = 0.5267$) detected with 82E1 primary antibody. Dp(16)3Tyb;tgAPP female n = 6, male n = 6; tgAPP female n = 7, male n = 7. (C) No significant difference in amyloid- β deposition in the hippocampus was detected at 6-months of age in Dp(10)1Yey;tgAPP compared with tgAPP controls (F(1,14) = 0.001, p = 0.972) detected with 4G8 primary antibody. Dp(10)1Yey;tgAPP female n = 4, male n = 3; tgAPP female n = 5, male n = 7. (D) No significant difference in amyloid- β deposition in the hippocampus was detected at 6-months of age in Dp(17)1Yey;tgAPP compared with tgAPP controls (F(1,14) = 1.785, p = 0.203) detected with 4G8 primary antibody. Dp(17)1Yey;tgAPP female n = 5, male n = 4; tgAPP female n = 6, male n = 4. (E) No significant difference in amyloid- β deposition in the hippocampus was detected at 12-months of age in Dp(16)2Tyb;tgAPP compared with tgAPP controls (F(1,5) = 1.908, p = 0.226) detected with 82E1 primary antibody. Dp(16)2Tyb;tgAPP female n = 3, male n = 1; tgAPP female n = 3, male n=3. (F) No significant difference in amyloid- β deposition in the hippocampus was detected at 12-months of age in Dp(16)3Tyb;tgAPP compared with tgAPP controls (F(1,22) = 2.012, p = 0.170) detected with 82E1 primary antibody. Dp(16)3Tyb;tgAPP female n = 8, male n = 6; tgAPP female n = 7, male n = 7. (G) No significant difference in amyloid- β deposition in the hippocampus was detected at 12-months of age in Dp(10)1Yey;tgAPP compared with tgAPP controls (F(1,18) = 0.131, p = 0.722) detected with 4G8 primary antibody. Dp(10)1 Yey; tgAPP female n = 7, male n = 6; tgAPP female n = 6, male n = 4. (H) No significant difference in amyloid- β deposition in the hippocampus was detected at 12-months of age in Dp(17)1Yey;tgAPP compared with tgAPP controls (F(1,11) = 0.021, p = 0.886) detected with 4G8 primary antibody. Dp(17)1Yey;tgAPP female n = 5, male n = 3; tgAPP female n = 5, male n = 3. (I) Representative image of Dp(16)2Tyb;tgAPP hippocampus at 12-months of age.

tgAPP models also affected deposition of the peptide we undertook histological studies of brain at 6- and 12-months of age.

A non-significant trend for decreased amyloid- β deposition was observed in the hippocampus at 6- and 12-months of age in the presence of the Dp(16)2Tyb duplication (Fig. 5A,E,I). This small decrease in amyloid- β deposition in the Dp(16)2Tyb;tgAPP mice is consistent with the decrease in aggregated amyloid- β_{42} detected by biochemistry. The high mortality of Dp(16)2Tyb;tgAPP mice meant too few animals survived for a sufficiently





powered biochemical study of the solubility/aggregation on amyloid- β . We note that phenotypes in this cross may be the results of a survivor effect.

No change in amyloid- β deposition in the hippocampus was observed in Dp(16)3Tyb;tgAPP, Dp(10)1Yey;tgAPP and Dp(17)1Yey;tgAPP mice compared with tgAPP littermates at either time-point (Fig. 5B,C,D,F,G,H; Fig S7). Similarly, no significant changes in deposition of amyloid- β in the cortex was observed at 6-months or 12-months of age in the Dp(16)3Tyb;tgAPP, Dp(10)1Yey;tgAPP or Dp(17)1Yey;tgAPP compared to tgAPP littermates (Fig. S8). Thus increased amyloid- β_{42} aggregation caused by Dp(16)3Tyb does not result in a robust increase in deposition of amyloid within the brain and the Dp(10)1Yey and Dp(17)1Yey duplications are not sufficient to modulate amyloid- β accumulation.

The rescue of APP transgene-associated mortality by segmental duplication of *Prmt2* and *Pdxk* is not caused by a suppression of seizures. Elevated mortality in *APP* transgenic mice such as the J20 animals studied here is likely caused by the occurrence of seizures and raised subclinical seizure activity in this overexpression model. The *Prmt2* and *Pdxk* region which is duplicated in the Dp(10)1Yey mouse model of DS carries *Cstb*, which encodes the enzyme cystatin B. Loss of function of this gene causes elevated seizure activity²⁰. Thus an additional copy of this gene may decrease the occurrence of tgAPP-associated seizures in the Dp(10)1Yey model and this may underlie the rescue of mortality in Dp(10)1Yey;tgAPP mice. To test this hypothesis, we measured the occurrence of seizures associated with the *APP* transgene was not altered by the Dp(10)1Yey region (Fig. 6). Thus the rescue of mortality in Dp(10)1Yey;tgAPP double mutant mice is downstream or independent of seizure occurrence. This suggests that 3 copies of *Cstb* do not modify tgAPP seizure occurrence, consistent with a previous report that showed an increase in *Cstb* copy number does not alter picrotoxin-induced seizure thresholds²¹.

Discussion

People who have DS are highly prone to develop early-onset dementia caused by AD^2 . Here we have used mouse models of DS and tgAPP mouse models of amyloid- β deposition to understand how sub-regions of Hsa21 modulate AD-related biology. Our data suggest that gene(s) from multiple regions of the chromosome affect APP/amyloid- β associated mortality, amyloid- β aggregation and deposition, independently of changes in FL-APP abundance. Moreover, we show that changes in mortality occur independently of an alteration in seizure duration or frequency in the Dp(10)1Yey segmental duplication model, despite this model having 3 copies of epilepsy related gene *Cstb*.

Mouse models are tools to understand the human condition, and within all model systems lie limitations. In this study we have modelled human trisomy by duplication of mouse orthologues. Thus, human genes/isoforms without a mouse equivalent have not been studied. We have determined how an additional copy of sub-groups of mouse Hsa21 orthologues affect biology but we have not determined how these groups of genes may interact with each other. Thus, some of the gene-interactions that occur in people who have DS are not modelled here. Also, we have not studied the effect of an extra copy of the 45 genes on Hsa21 located near to *App* on APP/ amyloid- β biology due to the potential confounding effect of increased copy number of endogenous mouse *App*. Additionally, we used an over-expressing APP transgenic model which has high/non-physiological levels of APP and its cleavage fragments, thus modifying effects of some Hsa21-dosage sensitive genes may be masked.

In our previous study crossing an alternative mouse model of DS (Tc1 mouse) with the J20 tgAPP mouse we found a robust increase in both the aggregation and deposition of amyloid- β in the cortex and hippocampus¹². In this study we identified a subregion of Hsa21 that is sufficient to cause an increase in the aggregation but not in deposition of amyloid- β . Additionally this increase was not as large as observed in the Tc1;tgAPP mice nor related to shift in the ratio of amyloid- $\beta_{40/42}$. Our data suggest that either a human specific gene/isoform, a gene(s) located close to *App*, or a combination of multiple genes across the chromosome is required to robustly increase both aggregation and deposition of amyloid- β reported in our previous model system. Some DS-associated phenotypes result from the combined effect of an extra copy of multiple genes on chromosome 21^{13,22}. This may occur via the effect of mass-action of an extra copy of many genes, perhaps via a global impairment in proteostasis as has been recently suggested to occur in DS²³. Further work is required to investigate the effect of this mechanism and how an extra copy of the genes located near to *App* effect APP/amyloid- β biology.

Moreover, we observe a significant decrease in aggregation, and non-significant trend for decreased deposition, of amyloid- β caused by an additional copy of the Dp(16)2Tyb region. We note the Tc1 mouse model does not have an additional copy of the genes duplicated in the Dp(16)2Tyb model, which likely underlies some of the phenotypic differences between these two inter-crosses. All phenotypes observed in the Dp(16)2Tyb tross may be the result of survivor effect because of the highly elevated mortality of the Dp(16)2Tyb;tgAPP mice. Alternatively a gene(s) in the Dp(16)2Tyb model may reduce amyloid- β aggregation, Further work in an alternative model system is required to investigate how the Dp(16)2Tyb region modulates aggregation and deposition of amyloid- β .

We note that a number of gene candidates previously implicated in APP/amyloid- β biology¹ are duplicated in the Dp(16)3Tyb and Dp(16)2Tyb models. For example, in the Dp(16)3Tyb model *Dyrk1a* and *Bace2* are found in three-copies and in the Dp(16)2Tyb model *Synj1* is duplicated. A previous study of gene expression in 3-month old hippocampus, using a larger segmental duplication encompassing both these regions (Dp(16)1Tyb) indicated that a number of these genes are likely to have increased expression in the brain of the models used here¹¹; although further transcriptomic and proteomic work is required to validate this (Table S1). In this previous study, we showed that both *Dyrk1a* and *Synj1* had upregulated gene expression in the mouse brain but that no significant increase in *Bace2* transcript was observed. This may contribute to the differential effect of threecopies of *BACE2* in brain organoids previously reported and our data²⁴. Another study showed that triplication of *Dyrka1a* in an alternative mouse model of DS (Ts65Dn) contributed to raised APP protein and amyloid- β_{42} levels in the brains of these mice²⁵. Our data is consistent with triplication of *Dyrk1a* enhancing amyloid- β aggregation although we found no evidence that an extra copy of this gene was sufficient to raise total APP levels; this may be because of the use of *APP* transgene in our study.

Mortality in APP transgenic mouse models including the J20 line is caused by seizures¹⁶, likely by a process similar to Sudden Unexpected Death in Epilepsy (SUDEP). SUDEP is thought to occur because of a post-seizure response which slows electrical activity in the brain-stem leading to a fatal suppression of respiratory and cardiac output^{26,27}. Here we show that tgAPP associated mortality is rescued by an extra copy of multiple regions of Hsa21, and in Dp(10)1Yey mice this occurs despite the frequent occurrence of seizure activity. This suggests that an increase in copy number of a gene(s) in this region of Hsa21 may protect against SUDEP. Similarly, copy number of genes in the Dp(16)2Tyb region may worsen the incidence, duration or adverse outcome of seizures. Further research will determine the mechanism of action of this region and whether genes in this region contribute to the increased incidence of epilepsy and dementia-associated seizures in people who have DS.

In conclusion, an additional copy of genes on Hsa21 other than *App* modulate APP/amyloid- β biology, including tgAPP associated mortality and amyloid- β aggregation in a transgenic mouse model. Thus, genes on Hsa21 other than *APP* may influence the development and progression of AD in people who have DS, and AD therapies for this important group of individuals must be carefully selected to take this into account. Lastly, our data is consistent with DS-associated phenotypes being the result of human-specific gene effects or the interaction of an extra copy of multiple genes on Hsa21.

Materials and methods

Animal welfare and husbandry. All experiments were undertaken in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 (United Kingdom), after local institutional ethical review by the Medical Research Council, University College London and in accordance with ARRIVE guidelines.

Mice were housed in individually ventilated cages (Techniplast) with grade 5, autoclaved dust-free wood bedding, paper bedding and a translucent red "mouse house". Free-access to food and water was provided. The animal facility was maintained at a constant temperature of 19–23 °C with 55±10% humidity in a 12 h light/ dark cycle. Pups were weaned at 21 days and moved to standardised same-sex group housing with a maximum of 5 mice per cage.

The following mouse strains were used in this paper, here we show abbreviated name and then the official name and unique Mouse Genome Informatics (MGI) identifier: Dp(16)2Tyb (Dp(16Mis18a-Runx1)2TybEmcf, MGI:5703800), Dp(16)3Tyb (Dp(16Mir802-Zbtb21)3TybEmcf, MGI:5703802), Dp(10)1Yey (Dp(10Prmt2-Pdxk)2Yey, MGI:4461400) and Dp(17)1Yey (Dp(17Abcg1-Rrp1b)3Yey, MGI:4461398).

Mice were maintained by backcrossing males and females to C57BL/6J mice. tgAPP mice (B6.Cg-Tg(PDGFB-APPSwInd)20Lms, MGI:3057148) were maintained by mating tgAPP female mice to C57BL/6J male mice. Experimental cohorts were generated by crossing male or female mice carrying Hsa21 orthologous duplications with male or female tgAPP mice.

Animals were euthanized by exposure to rising carbon dioxide, followed by confirmation of death by dislocation of the neck in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 (United Kingdom).

Tissue preparation and western blotting. For analysis of protein abundance in hippocampus and cortex, tissue was dissected under ice cold PBS before snap freezing. Samples were then homogenised in RIPA Buffer (150 mM sodium chloride, 50 mM Tris, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate) plus complete protease inhibitors (Calbiochem) by mechanical disruption. Total protein content was determined by Bradford assay or Pierce 660 nm assay (ThermoFisher). Samples from individual animals were run separately and were not pooled.

Equal amounts of total brain proteins were then denatured in LDS denaturing buffer (Invitrogen) and β -mercaptoethanol, prior to separation by SDS-PAGE gel electrophoresis using precast 4–12% Bis–Tris gels (Invitrogen). Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose or PVDF membranes prior to blocking in 5% milk/ PBST (0.05% Tween-20) or 5–10% bovine serum albumin (BSA)/PBST. Primary antibodies were diluted in 1% BSA/PBST, HRP-conjugated secondary anti-rabbit, anti-mouse and anti-goat antibodies (Dako) were diluted 1:10,000 in 1% BSA/PBST. Linearity of antibody binding was confirmed by a twofold dilution series of cortical protein samples. Band density was analysed using Image J. Relative signal of the antibody of interest compared to the internal loading control was then calculated, and relative signal was then normalized to mean relative signal of control samples run on the same gel. Mean of technical replicates were calculated and used for ANOVA, such that biological replicates were used as the experimental unit.

Primary antibodies against C-terminal APP (Sigma A8717, 1:10,000), β -actin (Sigma A5441, 1:60,000), and GAPDH (Sigma G9545, 1:200,000), were used.

Biochemical fractionation of mouse brain tissues. Cortical proteins were fractionated as described in Shankar et al. 28. A half cortex was weighed on a microscale and homogenised in 4 volumes of ice-cold Trisbuffered saline (TBS) (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0) containing a cocktail of protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Calbiochem) using a handheld mechanical homogeniser and disposable pestles (Anachem). Samples were then transferred to 1.5 ml microfuge tubes (Beckman Coulter #357,448), balanced by adding more TBS and centrifuged at 175,000×g with a RC-M120EX ultracentrifuge (Sorvall) fitted with rotor S100AT5 at 4 °C for 30 min. Supernatant (the Tris-soluble fraction) was removed and stored at -80 °C. The remaining pellet was homogenised in 5 volumes of ice-cold 1% Triton-X (Sigma-Aldrich) in TBS (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0), balanced and centrifuged at 175,000 g for 30 min at 4 °C. The resultant supernatant (the Triton-soluble fraction) was removed and stored at – 80 °C. The pellet was then re-suspended in 8 volumes (by original cortical weight) in TBS (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0), containing 5 M Guanidine HCl and left overnight at 4 °C on a rocker to ensure full re-suspension, and subsequently stored at - 80 °C. A 660 nm protein assay (ThermoFisher) was performed to determine protein concentration for normalisation following MSD assay. For 3-month old animals, hippocampal TBSsoluble protein fractions were prepared according to Hölttä et al. (2013). Total mouse hippocampus from the left hemisphere was homogenised using a mechanical homogeniser and disposable pestles (Anachem) in 100 μ l TBS (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0) containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Calbiochem). The homogenate was then centrifuged at 4 °C for 30 min at 16,000×g. The supernatant (Tris-soluble fraction) was removed and aliquoted to store at – 80 °C. 660 nm protein assay was used to assess protein concentration.

Quantification of A β **production by Meso Scale Discovery Assay.** A β 38, A β 40 and A β 42 product levels were quantified on Multi-Spot 96 well plates pre-coated with anti-A β 38, A β 40, and A β 42 antibodies obtained from Janssen Pharmaceutica using multiplex MSD technology, as described before in²⁹.

Sandwich ELISA for Aβ oligomers. Oligomeric Aβ was detected using a sandwich ELISA adapted from³⁰ using 82E1 monoclonal antibody for both capture and detection to exclude monomeric Aβ from detection. Briefly, total hippocampus from 3-month old animals was homogenized using a mechanical homogenizer and disposable pestles (Anachem) in approximately 5 volumes of ice-cold Tris-buffered saline (TBS) (50 mM Tris-HCL, pH 8.0) containing a cocktail of protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Calbiochem). Homogenates were centrifuged at $16,000 \times g$ at 4 °C for 30 min, the resultant supernatant (the soluble TBS fraction) was stored at -80 °C. For the sandwich ELISA, samples were incubated on 1 µg/ml 96 well plate-bound 82E1 capture antibody followed by incubation with 0.75 µg/ml Biotinylated 82E1 detection antibody. The plate was washed 5 times with PBS-T between each step. The standard curve was made up of twofold dilutions, ranging from 3678 to

3.6 pg/ml of a synthetic dimer with the A β 1-11 sequence (DAEFRHDSGYE) C-terminally linked via a cysteine residue³⁰ was ordered from rPeptide and supplied by Stratech.

NeutrAvidin-HRP (ThermoFisher Scientific) was added to the plate after which a colour reaction was generated by incubation with TMB (3,3',5,5'- tetramethylbenzidine) colorimetric substrate (1-Step Ultra TMB-ELISA Substrate Solution, ThermoFisher Scientific). The reaction was stopped with 2 M H_2SO_4 and the plate read at 405 nm with a Tecan Infinite M1000 plate-reader. The average reading from the three technical replicates and the standard deviation for each standard were calculated to determine each one's percent coefficient of variation (%CV). The lower limit of detection (LLOD) was calculated as 2.5 times the standard deviation of the blank standard. The acceptable limits for each sample's %CV value were then taken to fall between the LLOD percentage and 10%. The standard curve was plotted and the region of linearity with the best R-square value was determined empirically. The estimated concentration for each standard was calculated from the plot equation and was divided by the assigned concentration to assess their similarity (% backfit). The lower limit and upper limits of quantification (LLOQ) and ULOQ) were set to fall between 80 and 120% of the % backfit values. The concentration of each sample was then determined according to the above limits.

Implantation of subcutaneous EEG transmitters. Animals were anesthetized using 4% 1 mg/l isoflurane vapour (Isothesia, Henry Schein Animal Health, UK), then maintained on approximately 1.5% isoflurane vapour. All animals received saline bolus prior to and after surgery, and Metacam (Boehringer) and buprenorphine (Vibec) analgesia. Intracranial electrodes were implanted 1 mm into the right parietal cortex (-2.06, + 2.50, with reference to bremga) and right motor cortex (+1.00,+1.5, with reference to bremga), via holes drilled through the skull. Electrodes were connected to single channel radio-transmitter (A3028B-AA, frequency dynamic range of 0.3–160 Hz, sampling rate of 512 per second (SPS) 600 h recording or A3028C-AA frequency dynamic range of 0.3–80 Hz, 256 SPS, 950 h recording) and battery implanted subcutaneously in the animals body (Open Source Instruments), such that EEG recordings could be made continuously from an untethered animal in its home-cage. EEG was recorded in freely moving animals continuously over a period of 6–21 days inside a faraday cage, signals recorded using LWDAQ software, in NDF file format.

Automated seizure and sub-clinical epileptiform activity detection. Automated seizure detection using an ECP16V1 processing script Neuroarchiver v101 (software available at http://alignment.hep.brandeis. edu/Software/), was used which calculates numerical metrics for 6 EEG properties: power, coastline, intermittency, coherence, asymmetry, and rhythm, over each second of EEG (source code available at: http://www.opens ourceinstruments.com/Electronics/A3018/Seizure_Detection.html). A library of 1-s EEG segments containing visually identified examples of seizures, sub-clinical epileptiform activity (SCEA), baseline EEG and movement artefacts was created using the 'event classifier' feature in Neuroarchiver v101. The threshold used was 0.04 for SCEA and 0.1 for seizures. All events were then checked manually to exclude false positives. Seizures were defined as high frequency and high amplitude spiking activity lasting longer than 10 s. Any spiking lasting less than 10 s was classified as SCEA. Event frequency was normalised by the number of days of recording.

Immunohistochemistry of mouse brain. Half brains were immersion fixed in 10% buffered formal saline (Pioneer Research Chemicals) for a minimum of 48 h prior to being processed to wax (Leica ASP300S tissue processor). The blocks were trimmed laterally from the midline by ~ 0.9–1.4 mm to give a sagittal section of the hippocampal formation. Two 4 µm sections 40 µm apart were analysed. The sections were pretreated with 98% formic acid for 8 min, followed by washing. The slides were wet loaded onto a Ventana XT for staining (Ventana Medical Systems, Tuscon, AZ, USA). The protocol included the following steps: heat induced epitope retrieval (mCC1) for 30 min in Tris Boric acid EDTA buffer (pH 9.0), superblock (8mins) and manual application of 100 µl of directly biotinylated mouse monoclonal IgG1 antibodies against Aβ (82E1, IBL, 0.2 µg/ml) or 4G8, Millipore, 2 µg/ml) for 8 h. The staining was visualised using the Ventana DabMap kit (iView DAB, Ventana Medical Systems), followed by 4mins of haematoxylin and blueing. Alternatively, for staining of Beta-amyloid, slides were incubated with mouse monoclonal 6F/3D (Dako 1:50) followed by Iview Ig secondary antibody (Ventana Medical Systems). The sections were dehydrated, cleared and mounted in DPX prior to scanning (Leica SCN400F scanner). All images were analysed using Definiens Tissue Studio software (Definiens Inc).

Statistical analysis. Data were analysed as indicated in figure legends by either two-tailed students T-test (single variable study), univariate ANOVA (to control for multiple variables) or by Mann–Whitney U, a non-parametric test, in cases where sample groups failed a Levene's test for equality of distribution between samples. For ANOVA, between-subject factors were trisomy and sex, with age in days included as a covariate. For cases when the number of technical replicates varied between subjects, subject means were calculated and used in the ANOVA. For MSD assays, fractionation batch was included as a covariate where appropriate. For MSD assays and $A\beta$ immunohistochemistry data, data points which were greater than three times the interquartile range of its group were excluded from analysis and reported in the figure legend.

Data availability

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 13 July 2020; Accepted: 23 February 2021 Published online: 11 March 2021

References

- 1. Wiseman, F. K. et al. A genetic cause of Alzheimer disease: mechanistic insights from Down syndrome. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 16, 564–574 (2015).
- 2. McCarron, M. *et al.* Supporting advanced dementia in people with Down syndrome and other intellectual disability: Consensus statement of the international summit on intellectual disability and dementia. *J. Intellect. Disabil. Res.* **62**, 617–624 (2018).
- 3. Hithersay, R. *et al.* Association of dementia with mortality among adults with down syndrome older than 35 years. *JAMA Neurol.* **76**, 152–160 (2019).
- 4. Antonarakis, S. E. Down syndrome and the complexity of genome dosage imbalance. Nat. Rev. Genet. 18, 147-163 (2017).
- Prasher, V. P. & Krishnan, V. H. R. Age of onset and duration of dementia in people with down syndrome: Integration of 98 reported cases in the literature. Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry 8, 915–922 (1993).
- Head, E., Lott, I. T., Wilcock, D. M. & Lemere, C. A. Aging in down syndrome and the development of alzheimer's disease neuropathology. Curr. Alzheimer Res. 13, 18–29 (2016).
- Kimura, R. et al. The DYRK1A gene, encoded in chromosome 21 Down syndrome critical region, bridges between β-amyloid production and tau phosphorylation in Alzheimer disease. Hum. Mol. Genet. 16, 15–23 (2007).
- Lee, J. H. *et al.* Candidate gene analysis for Alzheimer's disease in adults with Down syndrome. *Neurobiol. Aging* 56, 150–158 (2017).
- Mok, K. Y. et al. Polymorphisms in BACE2 may affect the age of onset Alzheimer's dementia in Down syndrome. Neurobiol. Aging 35, 1513e1-5 (2014).
- 10. Jiang, Y. *et al.* Lysosomal dysfunction in down syndrome is APP-dependent and mediated by APP-βCTF (C99). *J. Neurosci.* **39**, 5255–5268 (2019).
- 11. Ahlfors, H. et al. Gene expression dysregulation domains are not a specific feature of Down syndrome. Nat. Commun. 10, 2489 (2019).
- 12. Wiseman, F. K. *et al.* Trisomy of human chromosome 21 enhances amyloid-β deposition independently of an extra copy of APP. *Brain* (2018).
- 13. Lana-Elola, E. *et al.* Genetic dissection of Down syndrome-associated congenital heart defects using a new mouse mapping panel. *Elife* 5, 1–20 (2016).
- 14. Yu, T. *et al.* Effects of individual segmental trisomies of human chromosome 21 syntenic regions on hippocampal long-term potentiation and cognitive behaviors in mice. *Brain Res.* **1366**, 162–171 (2010).
- 15. Yu, T. *et al.* Deficiencies in the region syntenic to human 21q22.3 cause cognitive deficits in mice. *Mamm. Genome* **21**, 258–267 (2010).
- Born, H. A. et al. Genetic suppression of transgenic APP rescues Hypersynchronous network activity in a mouse model of Alzeimer's disease. J. Neurosci. 34, 3826–3840 (2014).
- Mucke, L. & Selkoe, D. J. Neurotoxicity of amyloid β-protein: Synaptic and network dysfunction. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med.* 2, a006338 (2012).
- 18. Palop, J. J. *et al.* Aberrant excitatory neuronal activity and compensatory remodeling of inhibitory hippocampal circuits in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. *Neuron* **55**, 697–711 (2007).
- 19. Born, H. A. Seizures in Alzheimer's disease. Neuroscience 286C, 251-263 (2015).
- 20. Lalioti, M. D. *et al.* Identification of mutations in cystatin B, the gene responsible for the Unverricht-Lundborg type of progressive myodonus epilepsy (EPM1). *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **60**, 342–351 (1997).
- Brault, V., Martin, B., Costet, N., Bizot, J. C. & Hérault, Y. Characterization of PTZ-induced seizure susceptibility in a down syndrome mouse model that overexpresses CSTB. *PLoS ONE* 6, e27845 (2011).
- 22. Herault, Y. *et al.* Rodent models in down syndrome research: Impact and future opportunities. *Dis. Model. Mech.* **10**, 1165–1186 (2017).
- 23. Zhu, P. J. *et al.* Activation of the ISR mediates the behavioral and neurophysiological abnormalities in Down syndrome. *Science* **366**, 843–849 (2019).
- 24. Alić, I. *et al.* Patient-specific Alzheimer-like pathology in trisomy 21 cerebral organoids reveals BACE2 as a gene dose-sensitive AD suppressor in human brain. *Mol. Psychiatry* (2020).
- García-Cerro, S., Rueda, N., Vidal, V., Lantigua, S. & Martínez-Cué, C. Normalizing the gene dosage of Dyrk1A in a mouse model of Down syndrome rescues several Alzheimer's disease phenotypes. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 106, 76–88 (2017).
- 26. Lhatoo, S. D. et al. An electroclinical case-control study of sudden unexpected death in epilepsy. Ann. Neurol. 68, 787-796 (2010).
- Sowers, L. P., Massey, C. A., Gehlbach, B. K., Granner, M. A. & Richerson, G. B. Sudden unexpected death in epilepsy: Fatal postictal respiratory and arousal mechanisms. *Respir. Physiol. Neurobiol.* 189, 315–323 (2013).
- Shankar, G. M. *et al.* Biochemical and immunohistochemical analysis of an Alzheimer's disease mouse model reveals the presence of multiple cerebral Abeta assembly forms throughout life. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 36, 293–302 (2009).
- Szaruga, M. et al. Qualitative changes in human γ-secretase underlie familial Alzheimer's disease. J. Exp. Med. 212, 2003–2013 (2015).
- Hölttä, M. *et al.* Evaluating Amyloid-β Oligomers in Cerebrospinal Fluid as a Biomarker for Alzheimer's Disease. *PLoS ONE* 8, 1–8 (2013).

Acknowledgements

F.K.W. is supported by the UK Dementia Research Institute which receives its funding from DRI Ltd, funded by the UK Medical Research Council, Alzheimer's Society and Alzheimer's Research UK and by an Alzheimer's Research UK Senior Research Fellowship (ARUK-SRF2018A-00). F.K.W. also received funding that contributed to the work in this paper from Epilepsy Research UK. F.K.W. and E.M.C.F. received funding that contributed to the work in this paper from the MRC via CoEN award MR/S005145/1. J.L.T. was funded by an Alzheimer's Society PhD studentship awarded to F.K.W. and E.M.C.F. L.J.P. was funded by an Alzheimer's Research UK PhD studentship awarded to F.K.W. and E.M.C.F. The authors were funded by a Wellcome Trust Strategic Award (Grant Number: 098330/Z/12/Z) awarded to The London Down Syndrome (LonDownS) Consortium (https://www. ucl.ac.uk/london-down-syndrome-consortium) (V.L.J.T., and E.M.C.F). Additionally, the authors were funded by a Wellcome Trust Joint Senior Investigators Award (V.L.J.T. and E.M.C.F., grant numbers: 098328, 098327), the Medical Research Council (programme number U117527252; awarded to V.L.J.T). V.L.J.T. is also funded by the Francis Crick Institute which receives its core funding from the Medical Research Council (FC001194), Cancer Research UK (FC001194) and the Wellcome Trust (FC001194). R.C.W. holds a Senior Research fellowship funded by the Worshipful Company of Pewterers and an Epilepsy Research UK Fellowship (F1401). For the purpose of Open Access, the author has applied a CC-BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission. We thank Professor S. Schorge (Royal Society fellowship URF (UF140596)), Dr. Amanda Heslegrave and Dr. Matthew Ellis for assistance with this project.

Author contributions

F.K.W. has undertaken consultancy for Elkington and Fife Patent Lawyers unrelated to the work in the manuscript. J.T undertook biochemical and histological experiments, undertook data analysis and wrote the manuscript. P.M. undertook data analysis and wrote the manuscript. H.T.W, E.R. and L.J.P. undertook biochemical and histological experiments, S.N undertook histological experiments and K.C. undertook biochemical experiments and genotyping. R.C.W, S.S and M.C.W. oversaw the EEG study and R.C.W and L.J.P undertook the EEG experiments and data analysis. E.M.C.F. and V.L.J.T designed and supervised the study and wrote the manuscript. F.K.W. designed and supervised the study, undertook data analysis and wrote the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-021-85062-3.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to E.M.C.F. or F.K.W.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021, corrected publication 2021

LonDownS Consortium

Andre Strydom^{7,8}, Elizabeth Fisher¹, Frances Wiseman³, Dean Nizetic^{9,10}, John Hardy^{3,11}, Victor Tybulewicz^{2,5} & Annette Karmiloff-Smith¹²

⁷Department of Forensic and Neurodevelopmental Sciences, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK. ⁸Division of Psychiatry, University College London, London, UK. ⁹Blizard Institute, Barts and the London School of Medicine, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK. ¹⁰Lee Kong Chian School of Medicine, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore. ¹¹Reta Lila Weston Institute, Institute of Neurology, University College London, London, UK. ¹²Birkbeck University, London, UK.